UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 1:06-cr-00286
v.) Honorable Robert Holmes Be
SANTIAGO ECHANIZ,)
Defendant.)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on November 30, 2006, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Santiago Echaniz entered a plea of guilty to both counts of a Felony Information in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. Count 1 charges defendant with harboring illegal aliens in violation of 8 U.S.C. §§ 1324(a)(1)(A)(iv) and 1324(a)(1)(B)(ii). Count 2 charges defendant with making and filing a false income tax return in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1).

On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant

Case 1:06-cr-00286-RHB ECF No. 10 filed 12/01/06 PageID.23 Page 2 of 2

understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient

basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to the Felony Information be

accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be

considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt,

acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district

judge. The clerk is directed to procure a transcript of the plea hearing for review by the District

Judge.

Date: December 1, 2006

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceed-

ings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. See W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -